

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/007,619	11/07/2001	Arun Kumar	IMRS-364	4188
20028	7590 01/03/2005		EXAMINER	
	CE OF BARRY I	NGUYEN, CAM LINH T		
755 MAIN STREET MONROE, CT 06468			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/007,619	KUMAR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CamLinh Nguyen	2161			
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Au	<u>igust 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign and All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attach-seat(c)		•			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/007,619 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's responsive to the claims 1 – 11 are acknowledged. Consequently, claims 1 –
 are currently pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 5 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Rassen et al (U.S. 6,189,004).
- ♦ As per claim 5,

Rassen et al (U.S. 6,189,004) discloses a query for use by a database manager in extracting information from a relational database, comprising:

- "A hub table and a plurality of dimension tables" See col. 9 lines 50 56, Rassen. As defined in the disclosure in page 8, the "hub table" includes the more dynamic data, and so is the primary table used in responding to a query. The hub table is called a fact table.
 Rassen also teaches that the fact table is the central table of a star schema (col. 5, lines 49 51). Therefore, the "hub table" corresponds to the "fact table" in Rassen.
- "Each dimension table including a plurality of records each of which includes a plurality of fields, each dimension table related to the hub table by a key field" See col. 5 lines 54
 59, fig. 3b, table 306, Rassen.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,619

Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

- "The query comprising a select clause in which a field is selected from one of the dimension tables using an alias", and "An alias table". See col. 41, Rassen.

♦ As per claim 6,

In col. 41, Rassen teaches a method of creating the table alias that includes "Order, Date, Customer". These fields are included in the fact table; therefore, the alias is the key field relating the dimension table to the hub table.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1 4, 7 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rassen et al (U.S. 6,189,004) in view of Cochrane et al (U.S. 6,532,470).
- ♦ As per claim 1, 7, 10

Application/Control Number: 10/007,619 Page 4

Art Unit: 2161

Rassen et al (U.S. 6,189,004) discloses a method for use by a database manager in extracting information from a relational database, comprising all components claimed in claims 5. Rassen does not clearly disclose the step of "examing the joining query and providing an aliasing list".

However, referring to col. 8 lines 49 - 53, Rassen teaches that the query/reporting information 169 includes filters and form definitions, allowing user to filter different fields, and to indicate which fields a user is particularly interested in. Clearly, the query must be examed in order for the system to select information.

In addition, another example to support the limitation above is provided by Cochrane (U.S. 6,532,470). In Fig. 6, element 176, Cochrane teaches that the query from the user is parsed. Therefore, the query must be examed. Further Cochrane discloses:

- "Providing an alias table" See col.8 lines 43 53, col. 17 lines 1 10, Cochrane.
- Transforming the joining query into a reduced query" See col. 13 lines 30 50,
 Cochrane.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Cochrane into the system of Rassen, because the combination would provided more accuracy of the query and to make sure that the referenced tables exist (col. 12 lines 20 - 25, Cochrane).

- As per claim 2 3, 8 9, 11,
 - "An alias table is created" see col. 17 lines 1 10, Cochrane.
 - "Providing a final response to the query ... by replacing ... the alias values with the aliased field values using the alias table" See col. 41, Rassen.

♦ As per claim 4,

- "A computer readable medium comprising instructions" See col. 6 line 28 – 43, Rassen, col. 5 lines 48 – 62, Cochrane.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 08/27/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Rassen reference fails to disclose an alias table for the at least one field in the aliasing list. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Referring to col. 41, wherein Rassen discloses a query log (col. 36, lines 60 - 61) that creating an aliases table, and the join alias, Rassen clearly disclose an alias table for the at least one field in the aliasing list. When the join query is executed, the system must transform the join query into a reduce query in which the aliases field values are replaced by the alias values as seen in col. 41.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/007,619

Art Unit: 2161

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4024.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 305-3900.

LN

ALFORD KINDRED
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6